Contents lists available at ScienceDirect





## Food and Chemical Toxicology

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/foodchemtox

# *alpha*-Glycosyl Isoquercitrin (AGIQ) and its lack of carcinogenicity in rasH2 mice

Debabrata Mahapatra<sup>a,\*</sup>, Douglas A. Donahue<sup>a</sup>, Abraham Nyska<sup>b</sup>, Shim-mo Hayashi<sup>c</sup>, Mihoko Koyanagi<sup>d</sup>, Robert R. Maronpot<sup>e</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Integrated Laboratory Systems, LLC., Research Triangle Park, NC, USA

<sup>b</sup> Sackler School of Medicine, Tel Aviv University, and Consultant in Toxicologic Pathology, Tel Aviv, Israel

<sup>c</sup> National Institute of Health Sciences, Kawasaki, Kanagawa, Japan

<sup>d</sup> San-Ei Gen F.F.I., Inc., Osaka, Japan

e Toxicologic Pathology Resources, Raleigh, NC, USA

#### ARTICLE INFO

Handling Editor: Dr. Jose Luis Domingo

Keywords: AGIQ Isoquercitrin rasH2 mice Carcinogenicity

#### ABSTRACT

alpha-Glycosyl Isoquercitrin (AGIQ), is used in Japan as a food additive and was granted generally recognized as safe (GRAS) status in 2005 (FEMA) and 2007 (FDA). The safety and toxicity information for AGIQ is sparse and therefore, the carcinogenicity potential of AGIQ was examined in the CByB6F1-Tg(HRAS)2Jic (rasH2) model. One hundred female and male rasH2 mice, each, were allocated to one of four designated dose groups; 0 (control)%, 1.5%, 3.0% or 5.0% AGIQ. Animals were administered the diets for six months and an additional 10 females and 10 males, each, were administered a positive control, *N*-methyl-*N*-nitrosourea (MNU). Body weights and clinical observations were collected. A full screen necropsy, organ weights, clinical chemistry, urinalysis and histopathology were performed. The positive control animals elicited appropriate responses specific to this strain (rasH2) of mice. There were statistically significant sporadic non-dose-dependent changes in clinical chemistries without corresponding pathological correlation. No microscopic AGIQ-related findings were noted; the range of pathology observations were all considered background findings, either specific to rasH2 mice or common to inbred strains of mice. Therefore, under the study conditions, the no-observed-adverse-effect level (NOAEL) was determined to be more than 5.0% (7215.4 mg/kg BW/day in male mice and 14685.5 mg/kg/day in female mice).

#### 1. Introduction

*alpha*-Glycosyl Isoquercitrin (AGIQ) or enzymatically modified isoquercitrin (EMIQ), is a water soluble flavanol obtained when multiple glucose moieties are conjugated to isoquercitrin. The latter is derived from enzymatic decomposition of rutin, a natural glycoside isolated from buds and flowers of Japanese Pagoda tree (*Sophora japonica*). Owing to its enhanced bioavailability and potent anti-oxidant properties, benefits from AGIQ include potent anti-inflammatory, anti-mutagenic, tumor suppressive, and cardio-protective functions (Manach et al., 1997; Yang et al., 2005; Makino et al., 2009; Amado et al., 2009; Li et al., 2011; Valentova et al., 2014; Wang et al., 2015; Shimada et al., 2010; Hara et al., 2014; Xiong et al., 2019).

The safety and toxicity of highly purified AGIQ have been evaluated in GLP compliant animal studies including a repeat dose 90-day toxicity and a single dose toxicokinetic (TK) study in rats (Nyska et al., 2016), a comprehensive genetic toxicity study (Hobbs et al., 2018), and a recent ten- and four-week toxicity and toxicokinetic study in juvenile Gottingen minipigs (Maronpot et al., 2019). Earlier reports have also shown AGIQ to be non-carcinogenic, although, these studies lack validated test article purity and were non-GLP compliant (Salim et al., 2004; Harwood et al., 2007). Given, these limitations, data on carcinogenic potential of AGIQ is still underreported.

Tg.rasH2 or transgenic CByB6F1-Tg(HRAS)2Jic is a hemizygous mouse carrying at least 3 copies of the human c-Ha-ras gene in tandem with its own promoter/enhancer element that codes for ras p21 protein. The over expression of this protein predisposes the mice to spontaneous and chemically induced neoplasia without mutations in the c-Ha-ras gene (Yamamoto et al., 1998; Usui et al., 2001; Tamaoki 2001; Morton et al., 2002).

Tg.rasH2 offers an edge over traditional 2-year carcinogenicity bioassays in terms of better predictability of human carcinogens, fewer false

\* Corresponding author. *E-mail address:* dmahapatra@ils-inc.com (D. Mahapatra).

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fct.2021.112103

Received 28 October 2020; Received in revised form 19 February 2021; Accepted 3 March 2021 Available online 23 March 2021 0278-6915/© 2021 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved. positives, shorter duration, and the number of animals used for testing (Alden et al., 2002). It also serves as a reliable alternative model for a 26-week short-term carcinogenicity assay for testing both genotoxic and non-genotoxic chemicals administered via oral and parenteral routes (Long et al., 2010). Since its official acceptance by the regulatory agencies in 2003 (Takaoka et al., 2003), a number of studies conducted over the years have generated sufficient historical control data, and reported a range of spontaneous neoplastic and non-neoplastic lesions in addition to chemically induced lesions in these mice (Nambiar et al., 2012; Paranjpe et al., 2013, 2016; Boyle et al., 2018; Kuroda et al., 2018).

The purpose of this study was to determine the carcinogenicity potential of AGIQ in transgenic rasH2 mice.

#### 2. Methods

#### 2.1. Animal husbandry and maintenance

rasH2 mice of approximately 5 weeks of age were obtained from Taconic Biosciences, Inc. (Germantown, NJ), housed individually in polycarbonate caging with microinsulator tops and absorbent heattreated hardwood bedding (Northeastern Products Corps., Warrensburg, NY) that was changed once a week. All animals were given ad libitum reverse osmosis treated tap water (City of Durham, NC) that was tested by National Testing Laboratories Inc (Cleveland, OH) and Purina certified 5002 meal diet (Ralston Purina Co., St. louis, MO) that was blended with AGIQ (>97% purity, quercitrin: 0.13%) at concentrations of 0 (control), 1.5%, 3.0% and 5.0% (w/w) (Davis, 2018) by RTI International (Research Triangle Park, NC, USA). The stability of 0.5% and 5.0% AGIQ in dosed diets stored between 0 and 10 °C was determined to be at least 63 days (Moundous 2015). Treatment diets were batch analyzed and tested for homogeneity and concentration prior to administration. Dose formulations for all samples showed homogeneity with a coefficient of variability between 0.23 and 5.66% while the dietary AGIQ passed protocol specifications with recovery between 87.8% and 100.8%. The mice were allowed at least 7 days acclimation period prior to inclusion in the study and thereafter maintained in study exclusive housing equipped with a 12/12 h light/dark cycle at 20–25 °C and with a relative humidity ranging from 30 to 70%. Upon approval from Integrated Laboratory Systems (ILS), LLC. (Research Triangle Park, NC, USA) Animal Care and Use Committee, all procedures during the study were carried out in compliance with the United States Food and Drug Administration (FDA)'s Good Laboratory Practice (GLP) regulations (21 CFR Part 58) (GLP, 2019), Animal Welfare Act Regulations (9 CFR 1-4), and animals were handled and treated according to the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals (ILAR, 2011).

#### 2.2. Experimental design

This study was designed as an alternative using transgenic mice to the testing guideline 451:

Carcinogenicity Studies (OECD, 2009). One hundred female and one hundred male rasH2 mice were allocated to one of four designated dose groups. The animals were administered one of three dose levels of AGIQ via the feed, or the carrier diet, for at least six months (26 weeks). An additional ten females and ten males were administered MNU (*N*-methyl-*N*-nitrosourea), the positive control, via a single intraperitoneal injection (Table 1). Body weights and clinical observations were performed weekly with daily cage-side observations. After at least six months of exposure, animals were humanely euthanized by carbon dioxide inhalation followed by exsanguination. Prior to termination, animals were fasted overnight and an 18-h urine sample was collected. A full screen necropsy was performed with collection of selected tissue weights.

Table 1Experimental design.

-			1
Dose Group	Sex	No. of animals	alpha-Glycosyl Isoquercitrin Dose Level (%)
1	М	25	0.0
	F	25	
2	Μ	25	1.5
	F	25	
3	Μ	25	3.0
	F	25	
4	Μ	25	5.0
	F	25	
5	М	10	MNU- 75 mg/kg
	F	10	

#### 2.3. Viability, clinical signs, body weight and food consumption

Observations for morbidity and mortality was performed twice daily on weekdays and once daily on weekends and holidays following the initiation of dosing on day 1. Body weight measurements were performed within two days of arrival, during allocation to an exposure group, prior to exposure on day 1 and weekly, thereafter, and finally at termination. Food consumption was measured at least once weekly with the exception of mice administered the positive control, MNU. Urine samples from 10 males and 10 females per dose group were collected prior to termination.

#### 2.4. Hematology, biochemistry and coagulation

Whole blood, blood smears, plasma, and serum samples were collected and analyzed for hematology, biochemistry, coagulation parameters, and hormone levels from at least 10 animals/sex/AGIQ group. Blood was not collected from the MNU positive control animals.

#### 2.5. Necropsy and tissue handling

All animals were euthanized by CO2 asphysiation followed by exsanguination and complete necropsies were performed after at least 6 months of exposure. All organs and tissues were examined for grossly visible lesions. Tissues were fixed in 10% neutral buffered formalin (NBF) or Davidson's solution, embedded in paraffin, sectioned at 5 µm thickness, and stained by hematoxylin and eosin. The following tissues were examined microscopically in males and/or female AGIQ treated animals: adrenals, brain (cerebrum, cerebellum, medulla/pons), bone/ bone marrow (sternum and femur), epididymides, esophagus, eyes, Harderian glands, heart, intestines (small and large including Peyer's patches), kidneys, lacrimal gland, liver with gall bladder, lungs with trachea, lymph nodes (mesenteric and mandibular), mammary glands, muscle (skeletal), nasal cavity, ovaries, pancreas, salivary glands, sciatic nerve, seminal vesicles, skin, spinal cord (cervical, mid-thoracic, lumbar), spleen, stomach, testes, thymus, thyroid/parathyroid, urinary bladder, uterus with cervix, and vagina. Thymus, spleen, and stomach from MNU treated animals were evaluated microscopically to confirm the expected positive control response. A grading scheme derived from Schafer et al. (2018) was used to evaluate pathologic lesions in the tissues as follows: no lesion (0), minimal (grade 1), mild (grade 2), moderate (grade 3) and marked (grade 4). Following diagnosis by the study pathologist, a peer review was conducted to confirm the accuracy of histopathologic diagnosis as outlined by the Society of Toxicologic Pathology (STP) (Morton et al., 2010).

#### 2.6. Statistical analysis

For this study, the group means and standard deviations were calculated and reported. All data from AGIQ treated animals were analyzed using Statistical Analysis System version 9.2 (SAS Institute, Cary NC) to include: final body weight; body weight gain; food consumption (g/kg/day); absolute and relative tissue weights, urinalysis, clinical pathology and histopathology. Studentized residual plots were first used to detect possible outliers in the data. Then homogeneity of variance was analyzed using Levene's test. For heterogeneous data, appropriate log transformations were performed and the data was reanalyzed for homogeneity of variance. One-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was then used to compare AGIQ treated groups to the appropriate control groups using Dunnett's test. Finally, dose-dependent changes were evaluated using the linear regression model. If data could not be transformed to be homogenous, data were evaluated using the non-parametric Dunn's test and dose-dependent changes were analyzed using the non-parametric Jonckheere's trend test.

#### 3. Results

#### 3.1. Survival, clinical observations, body and organ weights

All animals in the control and exposed groups survived to the scheduled sacrifice with the exception of thirteen animals sporadically found dead or moribund across all groups and sexes; no AGIQ-related macroscopic or microscopic effects were noted. There were no AGIQ-related abnormal clinical or cage-side observations.

There were no statistically significant differences in the final body weights or body weight gain in the male mice. Female mice exhibited a statistically lower final body weight and overall body weight gain in the 3% and 5% groups (Table 2B), when compared to control. These findings did not correlate with an overall increase or decrease in food consumption (Table 3B) and did not correlate with any histopathological changes. Males in the 5% dose group consumed significantly more feed when compared to the controls (Table 3A); however, there was no corresponding increase in body weight (Table 2A).

Group organs weight data are listed in Table 4A and 5A for males and Table 4B and 5B for females. There was a significant decrease in absolute testicular weight in 1.5% group and a significant increase in the relative spleen weight in the 5% group compared to the control animals in the male mice. In female mice, there was a significant decrease in absolute kidney and liver weights in the 5% group, and a significant decrease in absolute heart weight in the 3% and 5% groups. The relative brain weight was also significantly increased in the 5% group compared to controls. These findings in either sex did not correlate with any pathologic findings.

#### 3.2. Hematology, coagulation and clinical chemistry

Mean hematological parameters, coagulation values and clinical chemistry analysis are presented in Table 6A and 7A for males and Table 6B and 7B for females. Across dose groups and sexes, sporadic incidences of non-dose dependent statistically significant changes were observed without corresponding correlative? findings in histopathology. Male rats had a statistically significant decrease in HCT, MCH, absolute eosinophil counts, eosinophil percentage and absolute basophil counts in the 5% dose group and a decrease in the absolute basophil counts in the 3% dose group. Female rats had a statistically significant decrease in white blood cell count, MCH, and absolute neutrophil count in the 5% dose group and a decrease in the MCH in the 3% dose group. No

#### Table 2A

Body Weight Changes in Male rasH2 Mice Administered AGIQ.

Dose Level (percent)	Initial Group Mean	Final Group Mean	Mean Body	
	Body Weight	Body Weight	Weight Gain	
	(g) $\pm$ SD	(g) $\pm$ SD	(g) $\pm$ SD	
0.0	$\textbf{24.8} \pm \textbf{1.1}$	$\textbf{33.0} \pm \textbf{4.7}$	$\textbf{9.4} \pm \textbf{4.0}$	
1.5	$24.5\pm1.2$	$34.7\pm3.5$	$10.4\pm3.1$	
3.0	$24.8\pm1.3$	$33.0\pm5.2$	$9.1\pm2.9$	
5.0	$\textbf{24.4} \pm \textbf{1.2}$	$31.9 \pm 3.5$	$\textbf{7.7} \pm \textbf{2.3}$	

Table 2B

Body Weight	Changes in	Female rasH2	Mice Administered	AGIÇ

Dose Level (percent)	Initial Group Mean	Final Group Mean	Mean Body		
	Body Weight	Body Weight	Weight Gain		
	(g) $\pm$ SD	(g) $\pm$ SD	(g) $\pm$ SD		
0.0	$19.7\pm1.0$	$26.2\pm2.8$	$\textbf{7.0} \pm \textbf{1.8}$		
1.5	$19.5\pm1.0$	$\textbf{25.3} \pm \textbf{3.2}$	$6.1\pm2.0$		
3.0	$19.7\pm0.9$	$25.0* \pm 1.7$	5.3** ± 1.3		
5.0	$19.6 \pm 1.0$	24.1* ± 1.5	5.1** ± 0.8		

Statistically significant at p < 0.05 (\*) and p < 0.005 (\*\*) by linear trend test.

#### Table 3A

Food and Test Article	Consumption in	Male rasH2 Mice	Administered AGIQ.

(percent)	body weight/day)	body weight/day)
0.0	$131.16\pm9.7$	$0.0\pm0.0$
1.5	$134.80\pm11.5$	$2022.0 \pm 171.9$
3.0	$138.54\pm12.5$	$4156.3 \pm 373.8$
5.0	144.31** ± 15.3	$\textbf{7215.4} \pm \textbf{763.7}$

Statistically significant at p < 0.005 (\*\*) by linear trend test.

Table 3B Food and Test Article Consumption in Female rasH2 Mice Administered AGIO.

Dose Level (percent)	Food Consumption $\pm$ SD (g/kg body weight/day)	Test Article (mg/kg body weight/day)
0.0	$281.29\pm45.6$	$0.0\pm0.0$
1.5	$258.80\pm58.6$	$3882.0 \pm 878.8$
3.0	$300.25\pm47.8$	$9007.4 \pm 1434.4$
5.0	$293.71\pm53.4$	$14685.5 \pm 2672.2$

significant changes were observed in the coagulation parameters in both sexes Table 7A and 7B

#### 3.3. Urinalysis

No significant changes were observed in any of the urinalysis parameters (volume, appearance, specific gravity, glucose, protein, occult blood, ketones, bilirubin, urobilinogen, and sodium). There was a significant increase in the urine pH in 3% group in males (Table 8A) and a significant decrease in urinary potassium in the 5% group in females (Table 8B). While the urine pH value was non-dose dependent, the urinary potassium was considered dose-dependent although both changes were unrelated to administration of the test article.

#### 3.4. Macroscopic and microscopic observations

The only macroscopic finding that was considered test article related was the yellow discoloration of the femur and calvarium. Additionally, sporadic incidences of mass lesions, tissue discolorations, and organ enlargements observed at necropsy were documented. These lesions occurred across control, and AGIQ dose groups at similar frequencies and were not considered test article related.

Stained sections of all tissues from control and high dose animals, and select tissues (spleen, Harderian glands and lungs) from intermediate dose animals were evaluated by light microscopy (Table 9). No observed microscopic finding was considered to be test article related. No histologic correlate to the grossly observed yellow discoloration of the femur was apparent. A gamut of spontaneous histologic changes was observed in various tissues across dose groups and sexes including the control group.

Neoplastic lesions included hemangiomas, hemangiosarcomas, bronchiolo-alveolar adenomas, bronchiolo-alveolar carcinomas, Harderian gland adenoma, squamous cell carcinoma, lymphoma,

#### Table 4A

Organ Weights in Male rasH2 Mice administered AGIQ.

Group No.	Dose Level	Adrenals	Testes	Epididymides	Liver	Spleen	Kidneys	Heart	Brain
	(percent)	(paired)	(paired)	(paired)			(paired)		
1	0.0	0.00764 $\pm$	$0.28289 \ \pm$	$0.11483~\pm$	1.42684 $\pm$	0.07933 $\pm$	0.60359 $\pm$	0.24621 $\pm$	0.49541 $\pm$
		0.00271	0.01932	0.01685	0.14891	0.01790	0.06741	0.11927	0.01466
2	1.5	$0.00683~\pm$	0.26824* ±	0.11398 $\pm$	1.46283 $\pm$	$0.07900~\pm$	0.59249 $\pm$	$0.22265 \pm$	0.49719 $\pm$
		0.00243	0.02233	0.00938	0.20066	0.01357	0.05168	0.04025	0.04652
3	3.0	0.00685 $\pm$	0.28292 $\pm$	$0.11058~\pm$	$1.45209 \pm$	0.07707 $\pm$	0.58671 $\pm$	0.21693 $\pm$	0.48964 $\pm$
		0.00221	0.04096	0.01571	0.26859	0.01642	0.09858	0.04015	0.01699
4	5.0	0.00722 $\pm$	0.27334 $\pm$	$0.10932 ~\pm$	1.43868 $\pm$	0.07903 $\pm$	0.56842 $\pm$	0.20746 $\pm$	0.49154 $\pm$
		0.00262	0.02008	0.01236	0.18654	0.01091	0.05979	0.02316	0.03171

Weight provided as g  $\pm$  SD. Statistically significant at p < 0.05 (\*) by Dunnett's test.

#### Table 4B

Organ Weights in Female rasH2 Mice administered AGIQ.

Group No.	Dose Level	Adrenals	Uterus	Ovaries	Liver	Spleen	Kidneys	Heart	Brain
	(percent)	(paired)		(paired)			(paired)		
1	0.0	0.09941 $\pm$	0.30915 $\pm$	0.02375 $\pm$	$1.31320~\pm$	0.10658 $\pm$	0.43127 $\pm$	0.17658 $\pm$	0.52491 $\pm$
		0.42206	0.10529	0.00593	0.13586	0.02010	0.03260	0.02715	0.02297
2	1.5	$0.01202 ~\pm$	0.27209 $\pm$	$0.02088~\pm$	1.43787 $\pm$	$0.12879~\pm$	0.42313 $\pm$	$0.17237~\pm$	0.52086 $\pm$
		0.00224	0.09021	0.00638	0.80329	0.15060	0.05319	0.02213	0.01791
3	3.0	$0.01170~\pm$	0.26053 $\pm$	0.02305 $\pm$	1.26634 $\pm$	$0.11168~\pm$	0.41316 $\pm$	0.16704* ±	0.51973 $\pm$
		0.00229	0.08923	0.00527	0.14471	0.06067	0.03291	0.02052	0.01655
4	5.0	0.01128 $\pm$	0.30693 $\pm$	$0.02098~\pm$	1.14397* ±	$0.18987 ~\pm$	0.38913** ±	0.15976* ±	$0.51269 \ \pm$
		0.00191	0.08798	0.00388	0.33462	0.31220	0.06954	0.02046	0.04677

Weight provided as g  $\pm$  SD. Statistically significant at p < 0.05 (\*) and p < 0.005 (\*\*) by Dunnett's test.

#### Table 5A

Relative Organ Weights in Male rasH2 Mice Administered AGIQ.

Group No.	Dose Level	Adrenals	Testes	Epididymides	Liver	Spleen	Kidneys	Heart	Brain
	(percent)	(paired)	(paired)	(paired)			(paired)		
1	0.0	$0.0239 \pm 0.0105$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.866 \pm \\ 0.150 \end{array}$	$0.3470 \pm 0.0256$	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{4.323} \pm \\ \textbf{0.452} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.248 \pm \\ 0.079 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.835 \pm \\ 0.232 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.753 \pm \\ 0.409 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.530 \pm \\ 0.244 \end{array}$
2	1.5	$0.0193 \pm 0.0073$	$\begin{array}{c}\textbf{0.777} \pm \\ \textbf{0.103}\end{array}$	$0.3334 \pm 0.0369$	$\begin{array}{c} 4.114 \pm \\ 0.278 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.232 \pm \\ 0.043 \end{array}$	$1.701~\pm$ 0.142	$\begin{array}{c}\textbf{0.621} \pm \\ \textbf{0.080} \end{array}$	$1.440~\pm$ 0.133
3	3.0	$0.0211 \pm 0.0081$	$\begin{array}{c}\textbf{0.883} \pm \\ \textbf{0.166}\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.3408 \ \pm \\ 0.0397 \end{array}$	$4.388 \pm 0.562$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.230 \pm \\ 0.029 \end{array}$	$1.779 \pm 0.176$	$\begin{array}{c}\textbf{0.664} \pm \\ \textbf{0.122}\end{array}$	$1.528 \pm 0.279$
4	5.0	$\begin{array}{c} 0.0219 \ \pm \\ 0.0067 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{0.870} \pm \\ \textbf{0.072} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.3504 \ \pm \\ 0.0360 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{4.444} \pm \\ \textbf{0.205} \end{array}$	0.246 <sup>^</sup> ± 0.033	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{1.774} \pm \\ \textbf{0.106} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{0.655} \pm \\ \textbf{0.097} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.576 \pm \\ 0.262 \end{array}$

Weight provided as  $\% \pm$  SD. <sup>^</sup>Heterogenous data set with significant pair-wise difference by non-parametric Dunn's test.

#### Table 5B

Relative Organ Weights in Female rasH2 Mice Administered AGIQ.

Group No.	Dose Level	Adrenals	Uterus	Ovaries	Liver	Spleen	Kidneys	Heart	Brain
	(percent)	(paired)		(paired)			(paired)		
1	0.0	$\begin{array}{c} 0.0507 \pm \\ 0.0103 \end{array}$	$\substack{1.1620\pm\\0.4291}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.092 \pm \\ 0.029 \end{array}$	$4.978 \pm 0.479$	$\begin{array}{c}\textbf{0.418} \pm \\ \textbf{0.133}\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.641 \pm \\ 0.137 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c}\textbf{0.671} \pm \\ \textbf{0.087} \end{array}$	$1.999 \pm 0.199$
2	1.5	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{0.0477} \pm \\ \textbf{0.0088} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.0320 \pm \\ 0.3330 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.082 \pm \\ 0.024 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{5.627} \pm \\ \textbf{2.663} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{0.510} \pm \\ \textbf{0.517} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{1.675} \pm \\ \textbf{0.153} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{0.679} \pm \\ \textbf{0.093} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{2.061} \pm \\ \textbf{0.195} \end{array}$
3	3.0	$\begin{array}{c} 0.0477 \ \pm \\ 0.0096 \end{array}$	$1.0510 \pm 0.3799$	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{0.094} \pm \\ \textbf{0.023} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 5.014 \pm \\ 0.403 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{0.456} \pm \\ \textbf{0.242} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{1.644} \pm \\ \textbf{0.092} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{0.662} \pm \\ \textbf{0.069} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{2.090} \pm \\ \textbf{0.142} \end{array}$
4	5.0	$\begin{array}{c} 0.0468 \ \pm \\ 0.0077 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.2741 \pm \\ 0.3867 \end{array}$	$0.084 \pm 0.016$	$\begin{array}{l} \textbf{4.799} \ \pm \\ \textbf{0.999} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c}\textbf{0.845} \pm \\ \textbf{1.400} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.567 \pm \\ 0.289 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.650 \ \pm \\ 0.082 \end{array}$	2.098*± 0.221

Weight provided as %  $\pm$  SD. Statistically significant at p < 0.05 (\*) by Dunnett's test.

granulocytic leukemia, and thymoma. Among them, hemangiosarcomas had the highest incidence and commonly originated in the spleen and rarely elsewhere in organs such as the brain, testis, and uterus. Bronchiolo-alveolar adenomas had the second highest incidence followed by other tumors with minor occurrences. Squamous cell carcinoma, bronchiolo-alveolar carcinomas, lymphoma and granulocytic leukemia comprised the list of metastatic tumors. The incidences of the neoplastic lesions in this study are in range and consistent with the historical control data (Table 10) obtained from ILS's internal database and those published for this strain (Kanno et al., 2003, Paranjpe et al., 2018, Davis J. 2019(unpublished report)). The positive control (MNU-treated) group had incidences of malignant lymphomas in the stomach, thymus and spleen.

Non-neoplastic lesions included a range of spontaneous hyperplastic, hypertrophic, degenerative, infiltrative, and inflammatory lesions that either occurred with similar incidences in control and high dose (or

#### Table 6A

Changes in Clinical Pathology in Male rasH2 Mice Administered AGIQ.

	Group No.	Dose Level	WBC	RBC	HB	HCT		MCV	MCH	MCHC	Platelet
		(percent)	(1000/µL)	(1000000/ μL)	(g/dL)	(%)		(fL)	(pg)	(g/dL)	(1000/µL)
$\text{Mean}\pm\text{SD}$	1	0.0%	$\textbf{4.29} \pm \textbf{1.36}$	$12.38\pm0.75$	$\begin{array}{c} 17.08 \pm \\ 0.72 \end{array}$	60.05 $\pm$	3.86	48.48 ± 0.78	$13.81\pm0.71$	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{28.51} \pm \\ \textbf{1.44} \end{array}$	$526.85 \pm 23.72$
	2	1.5%	$\textbf{4.67} \pm \textbf{1.35}$	$12.00\pm0.29$	$\begin{array}{c} 16.51 \pm \\ 0.50 \end{array}$	58.15 $\pm$	1.81	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{48.46} \\ \textbf{0.65} \end{array}$	$13.77\pm0.25$	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{28.43} \pm \\ \textbf{0.48} \end{array}$	$682.07 \pm 59.49$
	3	3.0%	$\textbf{4.37} \pm \textbf{1.59}$	$11.97\pm0.30$	$\begin{array}{c} 16.34 \pm \\ 0.57 \end{array}$	58.03 $\pm$	2.07	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{48.48} \pm \\ \textbf{1.23} \end{array}$	$13.68\pm0.41$	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{28.20} \pm \\ \textbf{0.76} \end{array}$	$698.33 \pm 78.35$
	4	5.0%	$\textbf{4.04} \pm \textbf{1.38}$	$11.82\pm0.64$	$\begin{array}{c} 16.14 \pm \\ 0.74 \end{array}$	57.14* : 3.12	±	$\begin{array}{l} 48.35 \ \pm \\ 0.76 \end{array}$	13.66* ± 0.26	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{28.29} \pm \\ \textbf{0.58} \end{array}$	719.14 ± 45.68
	Group No.	Dose Level	NEU	NEU	LYM	LYM	MON	O MONO	EOS	EOS	BASO
		(percent)	(%)	(1000/µL)	(%)	(1000/µL)	(%)	(1000/	′μL) (%)	(1000/µL)	(%)
$\frac{\text{Mean} \pm}{\text{SD}}$	1	0.0%	$\begin{array}{c} 17.92 \pm \\ 5.94 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{0.74} \pm \\ \textbf{0.31} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{l} \textbf{74.56} \pm \\ \textbf{6.11} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{3.22} \pm \\ \textbf{1.07} \end{array}$	1.50 0.53	$\pm 0.07 \pm 0.03$	$2.60 \pm 1$	$0.12 \pm 0.0$	9 0.82 $\pm$ 0.62
	2	1.5%	$\begin{array}{c} 14.99 \pm \\ 7.17 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{0.63} \pm \\ \textbf{0.17} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{79.00} \pm \\ \textbf{8.04} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{3.76} \pm \\ \textbf{1.21} \end{array}$	1.29 0.32	± 0.06 ± 0.02	2.44 ± 1	$0.11 \pm 0.0$	$\begin{array}{ccc} 8 & 0.75 \pm \\ & 0.76 \end{array}$
	3	3.0%	$\begin{array}{c} 16.68 \pm \\ 3.44 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.71 \pm \\ 0.22 \end{array}$	$77.26 \pm 3.52$	$\begin{array}{c} 3.39 \pm \\ 1.30 \end{array}$	1.38 0.89	± 0.06 ± 0.04	2.67 ± 2	2.40 $0.13 \pm 0.1$	8 0.44 ± 0.57
	4	5.0%	$\begin{array}{c} 18.99 \pm \\ 5.65 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c}\textbf{0.75} \pm \\ \textbf{0.28}\end{array}$	$76.88 \pm 5.50$	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{3.13} \pm \\ \textbf{1.14} \end{array}$	1.09 0.69	± 0.05 ± 0.04	1.39* ± 0.93	0.05* ± 0.04	$\begin{array}{c}\textbf{0.39} \pm \\ \textbf{0.44} \end{array}$
		Group N	lo.	Dose Le	evel	BASC	C		LUC		LUC
				(percen	t)	(100	0/µL)	-	(%)		(1000/µL)
$\text{Mean}\pm\text{SD}$		1 2 3		0.0% 1.5% 3.0%		0.04 0.04 <b>0.02</b>	$\pm 0.03$ $\pm 0.05$ * $\pm 0.04$	ŀ	$2.64 \pm 02.2$ $1.51 \pm 1.02$ $1.60 \pm 1.57$	28 1 7	$0.11 \pm 0.10$ $0.07 \pm 0.06$ $0.06 \pm 0.05$
		4		5.0%		0.01	* ± 0.01	l	$1.26\pm0.88$	8	0.05 $\pm$

Statistically significant at  $p<0.05\ (*)$  by Dunnett's test.

#### Table 6B

Changes in Clinical Pathology in Female rasH2 Mice Administered AGIQ.

	Group No.	Dose Level	WBC	RBC	HB	HCT	MCV	MCH	I	MCHC	Platelet	
		(percent)	(1000/µL)	(1000000/ μL)	(g/dL)	(%)	(fL)	(pg)		(g/dL)	(1000/µL)	
$\text{Mean}\pm\text{SD}$	1	0.0%	$5.25 \pm 1.37$	$11.38\pm0.65$	$16.63 \pm 0.90$	57.52 ±	$50.51 \pm 1.09$	14.63	$1\pm0.45$	$28.92 \pm 0.97$	426.8 ± 201.72	
	2	1.5%	$10.08 \pm 8.30$	$10.95 \pm 1.33$	15.66 ±	54.86 ±	50.25 ±	14.34	$4\pm0.49$	$28.55 \pm 0.68$	556.4 ±	
	3	3.0%	$\textbf{5.47} \pm \textbf{1.56}$	$11.38\pm0.46$	16.17 ±	57.09 ±	$50.13 \pm 0.97$	14.2 0.32	3* ±	$\textbf{28.38} \pm \textbf{0.60}$	567.7 ±	
	4	5.0%	$\textbf{3.49^{\wedge} \pm 1.01}$	$11.45\pm0.93$	$16.52 \pm 0.57$	57.13 ± 5.55	49.82 ± 1.17	14.4 1.09	9* ±	$29.17 \pm 2.99$	569.9 ± 204.93	
	Group No.	Dose Level	NEU	NEU	LYM	LYM	MON	0	MONO	EOS	EOS	
		(percent)	(%)	(1000/µL)	(%)	(1000/µ	L) (%)		(1000/µL)	(%)	(1000/µL)	
$\text{Mean}\pm\text{SD}$	1	0.0%	$15.09\pm8.03$	$\textbf{0.80} \pm \textbf{0.49}$	$78.50\pm5.2$	24 4.11 ± 1	.06 1.22	$\pm$ 0.75	$\textbf{0.06} \pm \textbf{0.04}$	$\textbf{2.72} \pm \textbf{2.23}$	$\textbf{0.15}\pm\textbf{0.15}$	
	2	1.5%	$15.68 \pm 12.25$	$0.84 \pm 0.82$	$77.6 \pm 11.4$	1 7.99 $\pm$ 1	4.76 0.90	± 0.75	$0.05 \pm 0.04$	$2.15 \pm 2.40$	$0.10 \pm 0.09$	
	3 4	3.0% 5.0%	$13.81 \pm 6.19$ $11.89 \pm 4.21$	$0.72 \pm 0.29$ 0.40* ± 0.14	$\begin{array}{c} 79.79 \pm 4.8 \\ 4 & 80.95 \pm 6.8 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{ccc} 33 & 4.38 \pm 1 \\ 32 & 2.84 \pm 0 \end{array}$		$\pm 0.68$ $\pm 0.94$	$0.08 \pm 0.04$ $0.05 \pm 0.04$	$2.91 \pm 2.59$ $1.87 \pm 0.97$	$0.17 \pm 0.19$ $0.07 \pm 0.04$	
		Group No.	Dose	Level	BASO		BASO		LUC		LUC	
			(perc	ent)	(%)		(1000/µL)		(%)		(1000/µL)	
$\text{Mean} \pm \text{SD}$		1	0.0%		$0.40\pm0.31$		$0.02\pm0.02$		$2.09\pm2$	2.24	$0.12\pm0.15$	
		2	1.5%		$1.19 \pm 2.65$		$0.55 \pm 1.77$		$3.31\pm4$	4.90	$1.09\pm3.30$	
		3	3.0%		$0.47\pm0.19$		$0.03 \pm 0.01$		$1.54\pm 0$	0.77	$0.09\pm0.05$	
		4	5.0%		$\textbf{0.69} \pm \textbf{0.45}$		$\textbf{0.02} \pm \textbf{0.01}$		$3.14\pm2$	2.74	$\textbf{0.11} \pm \textbf{0.11}$	

Statistically significant at  $p<0.05\ (*)$  by Dunnett's test.

<sup>^</sup>Heterogenous data set with significant pair-wise difference by non-parametric Dunn's test.

WBC, white blood cell; RBC, red blood cell; HB, haemoglobin; HCT, haematocrit; MCH, mean corpuscular haemoglobin; MCV, mean corpuscular volume; MCHC, mean corpuscular haemoglobin concentration; NEU, neutrophil; LYM, Lymphocytes; MONO, Monocytes; EOS, Eosinophils; BASO, Basophils; LUC, Large Unstained Cells.

#### Table 7A

Changes in Coagulation parameters in Male rasH2 Mice Administered AGIQ.

	Group No.	Dose Level	PT	APTT
		(percent)	(seconds)	(seconds)
$\text{Mean} \pm \text{SD}$	1	0.0%	$\textbf{9.2}\pm\textbf{0.3}$	$31.2\pm3.2$
	2	1.5%	$9.1\pm0.5$	$\textbf{29.5} \pm \textbf{1.1}$
	3	3.0%	$9.6 \pm 1.0$	$29.6\pm2.6$
	4	5.0%	$\textbf{9.2}\pm\textbf{0.6}$	$30.6\pm4.5$

Table 7B Changes in Coagulation parameters in Female rasH2 Mice Administered AGIQ.

	Group No.	Dose Level	РТ	APTT
		(percent)	(seconds)	(seconds)
$\text{Mean} \pm \text{SD}$	1	0.0%	$11.58\pm3.69$	$28.03 \pm 2.40$
	2	1.5%	$10.66\pm2.77$	$29.30\pm3.64$
	3	3.0%	$13.10\pm9.63$	$30.39\pm5.00$
	4	5.0%	$\textbf{9.86} \pm \textbf{0.68}$	$\textbf{34.14} \pm \textbf{2.34}$

APTT, activated partial thromboplastin time; PT, prothrombin time.

treated) animals or sporadically across groups. These lesions included but were not limited to myopathy in the skeletal muscle, subcapsular hyperplasia in the adrenal glands, cystic endometrial hyperplasia in the uterus, hyperplasia of bronchiolo-alveolar epithelium in the lungs, and sperm granulomas in the epididymides, chronic progressive nephropathy in the kidneys, peri-insular hypertrophy in the pancreas and mononuclear infiltrates in salivary and lacrimal glands.

#### 4. Discussion

The potential of AGIQ to cause neoplasia was investigated in a GLPcompliant 6-month carcinogenicity study in transgenic rasH2 mice. Similar to the findings in rats reported by Nyska et al., (2016), there was no microscopic correlate to the grossly observed yellow discoloration of the femur and calvarium in rasH2 mice in the present study. Though this macroscopic examination was AGIQ treatment related it is not considered adverse as the yellow discoloration likely results from the accumulation of one or a combination of three metabolites: quercetin, quercetin 3-O-glucuronide, and 3-O-methylquercetin (Davis et al., 2020).

Based on the recommendations made by Paranjpe et al., (2014), only control and high dose and select tissues from mid and low dose groups

#### Table 8A

Changes in Urinalysis in Male rasH2 Mice Administered AGIQ

were evaluated microscopically without compromising the quality and integrity of the study. The effects of alkylating carcinogen (MNU) were assessed in the positive control (group 5) animals for the presence of neoplasia. Animals in this group had incidences of lymphomas in hematopoietic organs and forestomach (Morton et al., 2002). The range of neoplastic and non-neoplastic findings observed across groups in this study were all considered background/spontaneous in nature. The majority of these findings (myopathy of skeletal muscle and subcapsular hyperplasia of adrenal glands) are specific to rasH2 strain of mice and have been described previously in the literature (Nambiar et al., 2012; Paranjpe et al., 2013; Boyle et al., 2018; Kuroda et al., 2018). The remainder of the findings (i.e chronic progressive nephropathy in the kidneys) are well characterized spontaneous lesions in inbred strains of mice (Seely 1999; Seely and Brix 2014; Maronpot RR, 2014; Nolte et al., 2016). Males had a dose dependent increase in food consumption with statistical significance in the 5% AGIQ animals without corresponding body weight changes; therefore, these changes were not considered AGIQ-related. There was a dose dependent decrease in body weight gain in females with statistical significance at 3.0 and 5.0% AGIQ but was not considered AGIO-related since the body weight gains did not correlate with the absolute body weights and food consumption. Additionally, there was no histologic correlate to the observed variations in the absolute and relative organ weights, clinical pathology, and urinalysis values obtained at necropsy in both sexes. Hence, these findings were not considered to be AGIQ-related.

Although, the carcinogenic potential of AGIQ has been tested earlier in rats in our facility and published in the literature and has been reported to be safe, a similar study in mice has not been reported. Hence, this study was conducted to complement the findings in another rodent species (i.e. mice) and evaluate the carcinogenic potential following prolonged and repeated exposures (>90 days) in accordance with OECD Test Guidelines 451: Carcinogenicity Studies (OECD 2009). Furthermore, a negative study such as this is important and has been reported to comprise majority of FDA submissions (Boverhoff et al., 2011). This is because the rasH2 model has been found to test positive for fewer compounds of carcinogenic potential compared to rats and is better able to predict risk of carcinogenicity relevant to humans (Friedrich and Olejniczak 2011).

Taken together, there was no increased incidence of neoplasms, proportion of malignant neoplasms, or reduction in the time to appearance of neoplasms in animals exposed to AGIQ compared with the concurrent control group.

Therefore, under the study conditions, the no-observed-adverse-

Juliges in Ormanysis in Male rashz whice Administered AGIQ.												
	Group No.	Dose Level	Glucose	Protein	Bilirubin	pН	UBIL	Specific Gravity	Ketones	UK		
		(percent)	(mg/dl)	(mg/dl)			(mg/dL)		(mg/dL)	(mmol/L)		
$\text{Mean} \pm \text{SD}$	1	0.0%	NEG	$30.0 \pm 0.00$	NEG	$\textbf{6.58} \pm \textbf{0.20}$	$0.33\pm0.33$	$1.03\pm0.01$	$15.00\pm0.00$	$126.00\pm4.86$		
	2	1.5%	NEG	$30.0\pm0.00$	NEG	$\textbf{6.60} \pm \textbf{0.42}$	$0.36\pm0.36$	$1.04\pm0.02$	$15.00\pm0.00$	$145.80\pm3.41$		
	3	3.0%	NEG	$30.0\pm0.00$	NEG	7.06* ± 0.32	$0.29\pm0.27$	$1.03\pm0.02$	$15.00\pm0.00$	$107.30\pm7.58$		
	4	5.0%	NEG	$\textbf{30.0} \pm \textbf{0.00}$	NEG	$\textbf{6.94} \pm \textbf{0.42}$	$\textbf{0.68} \pm \textbf{1.34}$	$1.02\pm0.01$	$\textbf{20.0} \pm \textbf{11.2}$	$128.5\pm91.3$		

Statistically significant at p < 0.05 (\*) by Dunnett's test.

#### Table 8B

Changes in Urinalysis in Female rasH2 Mice Administered AGIQ.

	Group No.	Dose Level	Glucose	Protein	Bilirubin	pН	UBIL	Specific Gravity	Ketones	UK
		(percent)	(mg/dl)	(mg/dl)			(mg/dL)		(mg/dL)	(mmol/L)
$\text{Mean} \pm \text{SD}$	1	0.0%	NEG	NEG	NEG	$6.89 \pm 0.33$	$0.20\pm0~.00$	$1.02\pm0.00$	$15.00\pm0.00$	$82.04 \pm 22.50$
	2	1.5%	NEG	$30.0\pm0.00$	NEG	$6.60\pm0.21$	$\textbf{0.20} \pm \textbf{0.00}$	$1.02\pm0.01$	$15.00\pm0.00$	$82.71 \pm 46.39$
	3	3.0%	NEG	NEG	NEG	$\textbf{6.78} \pm \textbf{0.26}$	$\textbf{0.20} \pm \textbf{0.00}$	$1.02\pm0.00$	$15.00\pm0.00$	$\textbf{73.98} \pm \textbf{22.48}$
	4	5.0%	NEG	NEG	NEG	$\textbf{6.88} \pm \textbf{0.23}$	$\textbf{0.20} \pm \textbf{0.00}$	$1.02\pm0.01$	$15.00\pm0.00$	53.29* ± 7.81

Statistically significant at p < 0.05 (\*) by Dunnett's test. UBIL= Urine Bilirubin; UK, Urine Potassium.

### D. Mahapatra et al.

Findings	Male				Female					
	0.0%	1.5%	3.0%	5.0%	0.0%	1.5%	3.0%	5.09		
Adrenal glands	24			25	23			25		
Hyperplasia: subcapsular, bilateral, cortex	8			7	21			21		
Hyperplasia; subcapsular, unilateral, cortex	12			9	2			3		
Accessory structure; cortex	0			2	3			2		
Aorta	25			25	25			25		
Bronchiolo-Adenocarcinoma; metastatic	1*			0	0			0		
Bone marrow					1					
Hematopoiesis; increased					1					
Brain	24			25	24			25		
Hemangiosarcoma				1						
Nasal cavity	25			25	24			25		
Accumulation; hyaline, olfactory epithelium					4			4		
Accumulation; hyaline, respiratory epithelium				1	7			10		
Hyperplasia; respiratory epithelium	1				1					
Epididymides	25			25						
Granuloma; chronic	1			1						
Infiltration; mononuclear cell				1						
Harderian glands	25	25	23	25	25	23	25	25		
Adenoma					-	-	1			
Hyperplasia				_	1	1		~		
Inflitration; mononuclear cell	4	4	4	5	05			2		
Heart	25			25	25			25		
Cardiomyopathy					1					
Infiltration; mononuclear cell					1					
Mineralization				1	05			05		
Intestine, Ileum	24			25	25			25		
Necrosis; crypt mucosa	1			05				05		
Kidneys	23			25	24			25		
Hemangioma	0							1		
Chronic progressive nephropathy	2			4	4			1		
Infarction; acute	2			4	1			1		
Thrombus	з			4	1			1		
Infombus	25			25	25			1		
Lacrimal glands	25			25	23			23 E		
	10			12	0			5 24		
Basophilic focus	23			23	24			24		
Eosinophilic focus	1			1	1			1		
Inflammation: focal	12			11	15			9		
Necrosis	2			1	10			,		
Mixed cell focus	1			1	1					
Fatty change	1							1		
Extramedullary hematopoiesis					1			1		
Lungs	25	25	24	25	25	24	25	25		
Bronchiolo-alveolar Adenoma	1	2			2	1	1	2		
Bronchiolo-alveolar Adenocarcinoma	1	_			-	-	-	1		
Hemangioma	-	1						-		
Lymphoma: malignant		-			1					
Hyperplasia: alveolar epithelium	3	3			3		1	1		
Inflammation: acute	-	-			1		-	-		
Lymph node. Mesenteric	25			25	25			25		
Squamous cell carcinoma					1*					
Skeletal muscle	25			25	25			25		
Myopathy: chronic	23			23	25			24		
Pancreas	25			25	25			25		
Squamous cell carcinoma					1*			20		
Vacuolation; acinus					-	1				
Hypertrophy; acinus	3			7	13	-		11		
Parathyroid glands	-				-					
Cyst; unilateral	1			1						
Salivary glands	25			24	24			25		
Infiltration; mononuclear cell	7			5	5			6		
Seminal vesicles	25			25						
Hyperplasia; epithelium				1						
Spleen	23	25	24	25	24	23	25	24		
Hemangiosarcoma	2	1	2		1		2	1		
Lymphoma, malignant						1				
Bronchiolo-alveolar Adenocarcinoma								1*		
Hyperplasia; white pulp						4				
Extramedullary hematopoiesis					2		2	1		
Mineralization; arteriole					1					
Stomach	25			25	24			25		
Squamous cell carcinoma					1					

(continued on next page)

#### D. Mahapatra et al.

#### Table 9 (continued)

Findings	Male			Female					
	0.0%	1.5%	3.0%	5.0%	0.0%	1.5%	3.0%	5.0%	
Testes	25			24					
Hemangiosarcoma	1								
Spermatozoa; reduced, unilateral	1								
Thymus	24			25	24			24	
Thymoma					1				
Cyst				1					
Depletion; lymphoid					1				
Hemorrhage; acute	1								
Urinary bladder	24			25	25			25	
Infiltration; lymphocytic					6				
Uterus					21			25	
Hemangiosarcoma					1				
Hyperplasia; cystic, endometrium					19			16	
Inflammation; acute					1				

\* = Metastatic lesions.

#### Table 10

Historical control data of neoplastic lesions in rasH2 mice collected from ILS internal database and published sources.

Neoplastic findings	Present study incidence (%)			Historical control data from ILS (Davis J. 2019) incidence (%)			Historical con range from Li (%)	trol data terature	References	
	Male	Male	Female	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female		
	(Control)	(Dose groups)	(Control)	(Dose groups)	(Control)	(Control)	Range	Range		
	N = 25	N = 75	N = 25	N = 75	N = 25	N = 25				
Hemangiosarcoma (Spleen)	2/25 (8%)	3/75 (4%)	1/25 (4%)	3/75 (4%)	1/25 (4%)	0	0–12%	0–12%	Paranjpe et al., 2018	
Hemangiosarcoma (Uterus, Testes, Brain)	1/25 (4%)	1/75 (1.3%)	1/25 (4%)	0	1/25 (4%) (Testes)	0	0–4% (Testes)	0–4% (Uterus)	Paranjpe et al. (2018)	
Bronchiolo-alveolar Adenoma	1/25 (4%)	2/75 (2.6%)	2/25 (8%)	4/75 (5.3%)	1/25 (4%)	1/25 (4%)	0–20%	0–20%	Paranjpe et al. (2018)	
Bronchiolo-alveolar Adenocarcinoma	1/25 (4%)	0	0	1/75 (1.3%)	0	0	0–12%	0–12%	Paranjpe et al. (2018)	
Harderian gland Adenoma	0	0	0	1/75 (1.3%)	0	1/25 (4%)	0	0–8%	Paranjpe et al. (2018)	
Hemangioma (Kidney, Lung)	0	1/75 (1.3%)	0	1/75 (1.3%)	0	0	1%	0	Kanno et al. (2003)	
Lymphoma (Lymphoreticular)	0	0	1/25 (4%)	1/75 (1.3%)	1/25 (4%)	0	0–4%	0–8%	Paranjpe et al. (2018)	
Squamous cell carcinoma (Stomach)	0	0	1/25 (4%)	0	0	0	0	0–4%	Paranjpe et al. (2018)	
Thymoma	0	0	1/25 (4%)	0	0	0	0	0–4%	Paranjpe et al. (2018)	

effect level (NOAEL) was determined to be more than 5.0% (7215.4 mg/kg/day in male mice and 14685.5 mg/kg/day in female mice).

#### CRediT authorship contribution statement

**Debabrata Mahapatra:** Writing – original draft. **Douglas A. Donahue:** Writing – review & editing. **Abraham Nyska:** Writing – review & editing. **Shim-mo Hayashi:** Writing – review & editing. **Mihoko Koyanagi:** Writing – review & editing. **Robert R. Maronpot:** Writing – review & editing.

#### Declaration of competing interest

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

#### Acknowledgements

Integrated Laboratory Systems, LLC was responsible for the study design, data collection, data analysis and interpretation for the preparation of the final report. The manuscript was prepared by D. Mahapatra and reviewed by all authors. Funding for this study and the decision to submit the manuscript for publication was made by San-Ei Gen, F.F.I., Inc.

#### References

- Alden, C., Smith, P., Morton, D., 2002. Application of genetically altered models as replacement for the lifetime mouse bioassay in pharmaceutical development. Toxicol. Pathol. 30, 135–138.
- Amado, N.G., Cerqueira, D.M., Menezes, F.S., da Silva, J.F., Neto, V.M., Abreu, J.G., 2009. Isoquercitrin isolated from Hyptis fasciculata reduces glioblastoma cell proliferation and changes beta-catenin cellular localization. Anti Canc. Drugs 20, 543–552.
- Boverhof, D.R., Chamberlain, M.P., Elcombe, C.R., Gonzalez, F.J., Heflich, R.H., Hernandez, L.G., Jacobs, A.C., Jacobson-Kra, D., Luijten, M., Maggi, A., Manjanatha, M.G., Benthem, J., Gollapudi, B.B., 2011. Transgenic animal models in toxicology: historical perspectives and future outlook. Toxicol. Sci. 121, 207–233.
- Boyle, M.H., Paranjpe, M.G., Creasy, D.M., 2018. High background incidence of spontaneous subcapsular adrenal gland hyperplasia of Tg.rasH2 mice used in 26week carcinogenicity studies. Toxicol. Pathol. 46 (4), 444–448.
- Davis, J., 2018. Oral 28-day Dose Range Finder with Alpha-Glycosyl Isoquercitrin in rasH2 Mice. Unpublished Study Report. Prepared by ILS. Study No:50006.1042RF.

- Davis, J., 2019. 6-Month Carcinogenicity Study of Gardenia Blue Administered in the Diet of Transgenic CByB6F1-Tg (HRAS)2Jic (rasH2) Mice. Unpublished Study Report. Prepared by ILS. Study No:50082.00702.
- Davis, J.P., Koyanagi, M., Maronpot, R.R., Recio, L., Hayashi, S., 2020. Identification of compound causing yellow bone discoloration following alpha-glycosyl isoquescitin in Sprague Dawley rats. Arch. Toxicol. 94, 2413–2421.
- Friedrich, A., Olejniczak, K., 2011. Evaluation of carcinogenicity studies of medicinal products for human use authorised via the European centralized procedure (1995-2009). Regul. Toxicol. Pharmacol. 60, 225–248.
- Hara, S., Morita, R., Ogawa, T., Segawa, R., Takimoto, N., Suzuki, K., Hamadate, N., Hayashi, S.M., Odachi, A., Ogiwara, I., Shibusawa, S., Yoshida, T., Shibutani, M., 2014. Tumor suppression effects of bilberry extracts and enzymatically modified isoquercitrin in early preneoplastic liver cell lesions induced by piperonyl butoxide promotion in a two-stage rat hepatocarcinogenesis model. Exp. Toxicol. Pathol. 66, 225–234.
- Harwood, M., Danielewska-Nikiel, B., Borzelleca, J.F., Flamm, G.W., Williams, G.M., Lines, T.C., 2007. A critical review of the data related to the safety of quercetin and lack of evidence of in vivo toxicity, including lack of genotoxic/carcinogenic properties. Food Chem. Toxicol. 45, 2179–2205.
- Institute of Laboratory animals Resource, 2011. Guide for Care and Use of Laboratory Animals, 220pp, eight edition. National Academy Press, Washington DC.
- Kanno, H., Tanakamaru, Z., Ishimura, Y., Kandori, H., Yamasaki, H., Sasaki, S., 2003. Historical background data in CB6FI-Tg-rasH2 Mice and CB6F1-nonTg-rasH2 mice over a 26-week experimental period. Toxicol. Pathol. 16, 267–274.
- Kuroda, H., Kinomoto, T., Ogawa, S., Kawabe, M., Suguro, M., Naraoka, H., Takamatsu, K., Oishi, Y., 2018. Progression process and safety assessment adaptation of endometrial lesions in ENU-induced 2-stage uterine carcinogenicity in a Tg-rasH2 mouse model. Toxicol. Pathol. 31, 35–41.
- Li, R., Yuan, C., Dong, C., Shuang, S., Choi, M.M., 2011. In vivo antioxidative effect of isoquercitrin on cadmium-induced oxidative damage to mouse liver and kidney. N. Schmied. Arch. Pharmacol. 383, 437–445.
- Long, G.G., Morton, D., Peters, T., Short, B., Skydsgaard, M., 2010. Alternative mouse models for carcinogenicity assessment: industry use and issues with pathology interpretation. Toxciol Pathol 38, 43–50.
- Makino, T., Shimizu, R., Kanemaru, M., Suzuki, Y., Moriwaki, M., Mizukami, H., 2009. Enzymatically modified isoquercitrin, alpha-oligoglucosyl quercetin 3-O-glucoside, is absorbed more easily than other quercetin glycosides or aglycone after oral administration in rats. Biol. Pharm. Bull. 32, 2034–2040.
- Manach, C., Morand, C., Demigne, C., Texier, O., Regerat, F., Remesy, C., 1997. Bioavailability of rutin and quercetin in rats. FEBS Lett. 409, 12–16.
- Maronpot, R.R., Ramot, Y., Koyanagi, M., Dias, N., Cameron, D., Eniola, S., Nyska, A., Hayashi, S., 2019. Ten-day and four-week toxicity and toxicokinetics studies of alpha-glycosyl isoquercitrin in juvenile Göttingen minipigs. Toxicol Res App 3, 1–9.
- Maronpot, R.R., 2014. Liver-focus. National toxicology program non-neoplastic lesion atlas. Available: https://ntp.niehs.nih.gov/nnl/hepatobiliary/liver/foci/index.htm.
- Morton, D., Alden, C.L., Roth, A.J., Usui, T., 2002. The Tg.rasH2 Mouse in Cancer hazard identification. Toxicol. Pathol. 30, 139–146.
- Moundous, D., 2015. Stability Study for Alpha-Glycosyl Isoquercitrin in Feed at 5°C. Unpublished Study Report from Alera Labs. Stability Summary Report ILS10-007.00. Nambiar, P.R., Tornquist, S.E., Morton, D., 2012. Spontaneous tumor incidence in rasH2
- mice: review of internal data and published literature. Toxicol. Pathol. 40, 614–623. Nolte, T., Brander-Weber, P., Dangler, C., Deschl, U., Elwell, M.R., Greaves, P., Hailey, R.,
- Leach, M.W., Pandiri, A.R., Rogers, A., Shackelford, C.C., Spencer, A., Tanaka, T., Ward, J.M., 2016. Non-proliferative and Proliferative lesions of Gastrointestinal tract, pancreas and salivary glands of Rat and Mouse. Toxicol. Pathol. 29, 15–1245.
- Nyska, A., Hayashi, S., Koyanagi, M., Davis, J., Jokinen, M., Ramot, Y., Maronpot, R., 2016. Ninety-day toxicity and single dose-dose toxicokinetics study of *alpha*-glycosyl isoquercitrin in Sprague-Dawley rats. Food Chem. Toxicol. 97, 354–366.

- Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development, 2009. OECD Guidelines for the Testing of Chemicals, Section 4, Test No. 451: Carcinogenicity Studies. OECD, Publishing, Paris. https://doi.org/10.1787/9789264071186-en.
- Paranjpe, M.G., Denton, M.D., Elbekai, R.H., 2014. The 26-week Tg.Rash2 mice carcinogenicity studies: microscopic examination of only select tissues in low and mid-d-se groups. Toxicol. Pathol. 42, 1153–1157.
- Paranjpe, M.G., Shah, S.A., Denton, M.D., Elbekai, R.H., 2013a. Incidence of spontaneous non-neoplastic lesions in transgenic CByB6F1-Tg(HRAS)2Jic (Tg.rasH2) mice. Toxicol. Pathol. 41, 1137–1145.
- Paranjpe, M.G., Elbekai, R.H., Shah, S.A., Hickman, M., Wenk, M.L., Zahalka, E.A., 2013b. Historical control data of spontaneous tumors in transgenic CByB6F1-Tg (HRAS)2Jic (Tg.rasH2) mice. Int. J. Toxicol. 32 (1), 48–57.
- Paranjpe, M.G., Belich, J.L., Mann, P.C., McKeon, M.E., Elbekai, R.H., Brown, C.M., Patrick, D.J., 2018. A comparison of spontaneous tumors in Tg.rasH2 mice in 26week carcinogenicity studies conducted at a single test facility during 2004 to 2012 and 2013 to 2018. Toxicol. Pathol. 47 (1), 18–25.
- Salim, E.I., Kaneko, M., Wanibuchi, H., Morimura, K., Fukushima, S., 2004. Lack of carcinogenicity of enzymatically modified isoquercitrin in F344/DuCrj rats. Food Chem. Toxicol. 42, 1949–1969.
- Schafer, K.A., Eighmy, J., Fikes, J.D., Halpern, W.G., Hukkanen, R.R., Long, G.G., Meseck, E.K., Patrick, D.J., Thibodeau, M.S., Wood, C.E., Francke, S., 2018. Use of Severity grades to characterize histopathologic changes. Toxicol. Pathol. 46 (3), 256–265.
- Shimada, Y., Dewa, Y., Ichimura, R., Suzuki, T., Mizukami, S., Hayashi, S.M., Shibutani, M., Mitsumori, K., 2010. Antioxidant enzymatically modified isoquercitrin suppresses the development of liver preneoplastic lesions in rats induced by beta-naphthoflavone. Toxicology 268, 213–218.
- Seely, J.C., Brix, A., 2014. Kidney-CPN. National toxicology program non-neoplastic lesion atlas. Available: https://ntp.niehs.nih.gov/nnl/urinary/kidney/necp/index.ht m.
- Seely, J.C., 1999. Kidney. In: Maronpot, R.R., Boorman, G.A., GA, B.W. (Eds.), Pathology of the Mouse: Reference and Atlas. Cache River Press, Vienna, IL, pp. 2017–2234.
- Takaoka, M., Sehata, S., Maejima, T., Imai, T., Torii, M., Satoh, H., Toyosawa, K., Tanakamaru, Z.Y., Adachi, T., Hisada, S., Ueda, M., Ogasawara, H., Matsumoto, M., Kobayashi, K., Mutai, M., Usui, T., 2003. Interlaboratory comparison of short-term carcinogenicity studies using CB6F1-rasH2 transgenic mice. Toxicol. Pathol. 31, 191–199.
- Tamaoki, N., 2001. The rasH2 transgenic mouse: nature of the model and mechanistic studies on tumorigenesis. Toxicol. Pathol. 29 (Suppl. I), 81–89.
- United States Food and Drug Administration (FDA)'s Good Laboratory Practice (GLP), April 1 2019. Regulations (21 CFR Part 58), for Non-clinical Laboratory Studies.
- Usui, T., Mutai, M., Hisada, S., Takoaka, M., Soper, K.A., McCullough, B., Alden, C., 2001. CB6F1-rasH2 mouse: overview of available data. Toxicol. Pathol. 29, 90–108. Valentova, K., Vrba, J., Bancirova, M., Ulrichova, J., Kren, V., 2014. Isoquercitrin:
- pharmacology, toxicology, and metabolism. Food Chem. Toxicol. 68, 267–282.
- Wang, J., Gong, A., Yang, C.F., Bao, Q., Shi, X.Y., Han, B.B., Wu, X.Y., Wu, F.A., 2015. An effective biphase system accelerates hesperidinase-catalyzed conversion of rutin to isoquercitrin. Sci. Rep. 5, 8682.
- Xiong, G., Ji, W., Wang, F., Zhang, F., Xue, P., Cheng, M., Sun, Y., Wang, Xia, Zhang, T., 2019. Quercetin inhibits inflammatory response induced by LPS from Porphyromonas gingivalis in human gingival fibroblasts via suppressing NF-kB signaling pathway. Biomed Res International. https://doi.org/10.1155/2019/ 6282635.
- Yang, C.Y., Hsiu, S.L., Wen, K.C., Lin, S.P., Tsai, S.Y., Hou, Y.C., Lee Chao, P.D., 2005. Bioavailability and metabolic pharmacokinetics of rutin and quercitin in rats. J. Food Drug Anal. 13, 244e250.
- Yamamoto, S., Urano, K., Koizumi, H., Wakana, S., Hioki, K., Mitsumori, K., Kurokawa, K., Hayashi, Y., Nomura, T., 1998. Validation of transgenic mice carrying the human prototype c-Ha-ras gene as a bioassay model for rapid carcinogenicity testing. Environ. Health Perspect. 106, 57–69.